Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/574,573	MAEDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1785
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>	
(1) Kevin M. Bernatz.	(3)	
(2) <u>Sheldon Landsman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 October 2010</u>	Time: <u><i>PM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 1,4-8		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER The Examiner indicated that the claims appeared to distinguish o remained. Applicants agreed to submit a supplemental amendment	ver the prior art of record, but tha	
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Kevin M Bernatz/		
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1785 October 25, 2010		
	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)